

# A constraint on the geometry of Yang-Mills theories

SIMON DAVIS

Lyman Laboratory of Physics  
Harvard University  
Cambridge, MA 02138  
USA

**Abstract.** *The connection form of a fibre bundle may be identified with the gauge potential of a Yang-Mills theory only if its dependence on the coordinates of the fibres can be eliminated. To maintain this property under a change of bundle coordinates, the standard fibre must admit a globally integrable parallelism. The necessity of principal bundles in the geometrical formulation of Yang-Mills theories can then be deduced.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The classical action for a pure Yang-Mills theory can be regarded as a functional of the curvature form of a principal fibre bundle that is invariant under automorphisms of the bundle which act trivially on the base space [1] [2]. While the set of gauge transformations determine the structure group of the bundle, there seems to be no similar constraint on the standard fibre. The defining property of a principal bundle, that the standard fibre coincide with the structure group [3], is one that could apparently be eliminated. However, attempts to generalize pure Yang-Mills theories by allowing the standard fibre to be a non-group manifold have been unsatisfactory [4] [5]. The aim of this paper is to demonstrate that the geometry is strongly constrained by physical requirements. Of particular importance is the condition that the fields and transformation rules are defined entirely on the base space; otherwise, any gauge invariance of

---

*Key words:* Principal connections, Yang-Mills theories.  
1980 MSC: 53 C 05, 53 C 80.

the field theory cannot be regarded as an internal symmetry. It will be shown that the independence of the gauge potential with respect to the fibre coordinates can be maintained under gauge transformations only if the standard fibre is a Lie group. One consequence of this result is that the potential must transform under the adjoint representation of the gauge group. A similar conclusion may be reached by considering the consistency of nonlinear self-couplings of massless spin-one fields [6].

## 2. THE CONNECTION FORM

A connection in a principal bundle  $(P, M, \pi, G)$  (1) provides a decomposition of the tangent space at  $p \in P$  into a horizontal subspace  $H_p$  and a vertical subspace  $V_p$ , tangent to the fibres [7]. The horizontal subspace  $H_p$  depends smoothly on  $p$ , and it is required to be invariant under right translations  $R_g \star H_p = H_{p \cdot g}$ . The diffeomorphism between the fibre passing through  $p$  and the group  $G$  induces an isomorphism between  $V_p$  and the Lie algebra of  $G$ . Thus, given a connection, a Lie-algebra-valued connection form can be defined on  $P$  by projecting any tangent vector in  $T_p(P)$  to  $V_p$  and then mapping the vertical component to the Lie algebra. For each local section  $\sigma : U \subset M \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(U)$ , this connection form can be pulled back to the base space by  $\Gamma^{(\sigma)} = \sigma^* \Gamma$ . In local coordinates for the neighbourhood  $U$ ,  $\Gamma^{(\sigma)} = A_\mu^{(\sigma)} dx^\mu$ , where  $A_\mu^{(\sigma)}$  can be identified as a Lie algebra-valued gauge potential, since, under a change of section,  $\sigma(x) \rightarrow \sigma'(x) = \sigma(x)g(x)$ , it transforms as  $A_\mu^{(\sigma')} = Ad(g^{-1})A_\mu^{(\sigma)} + g^{-1} \partial_\mu g$  [1].

Consider now a general fibre bundle  $(E, M, \pi)$ . Given a bundle atlas  $\{U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha\}$ , every point  $x \in M$  has a neighbourhood  $U_\alpha$  such that  $\psi_\alpha$  is a diffeomorphism from  $\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha)$  to  $U_\alpha \times F$  for some standard fibre  $F$ . If  $x \in U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ ,  $y \in F$ , then  $\psi_\alpha \circ \psi_\beta^{-1}(x, y) = (x, \phi_{\alpha\beta}(x, y))$ , where  $\phi_{\alpha\beta}(x, \cdot) \in Diff(F)$  are transition functions.

The trivializations  $\psi_\alpha, \psi_\beta$  determine two local sections  $\sigma_\alpha(x) = \psi_\alpha^{-1}(x, y_0)$ ,  $\sigma_\beta(x) = \psi_\beta^{-1}(x, y_0)$ . If  $U \subset U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ , an arbitrary trivialization  $\psi : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times F$  will map  $\sigma_\alpha(x), \sigma_\beta(x)$  to  $\psi\sigma_\alpha(x) = (x, y(x)), \psi\sigma_\beta(x) = (x, y'(x))$ . Let us define  $\psi_{\beta\alpha} : U \times F \rightarrow F$  by  $\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x, y) \equiv \psi_{\beta\alpha}^y(x) \equiv \psi_{\beta\alpha}^x(y) = y'$ , where  $\psi_{\beta\alpha}^x \in Diff(F)$ . Then the tangent spaces to the two sections are related by

$$(1) \quad (\xi_x, V^\beta) \equiv (\psi\sigma_\beta)_*(\xi_x) = (\xi_x, T\psi_{\beta\alpha} \cdot (\psi\sigma_\alpha)_*(\xi_x)) = (\xi_x, T\psi_{\beta\alpha}(\xi_x, V^\alpha))$$

Any bundle  $(E, M, \pi)$  for which the total space  $E$  is a paracompact manifold admits a  $C^r$  connection,  $0 \leq r \leq \infty$  [8]. The tangent bundle is then the direct sum

---

(1)  $P$  is the total space,  $M$  is the base space,  $\pi$  is a smooth projection of  $P$  onto  $M$ , and  $G$  is the structure group.

of the vertical and horizontal subbundles,  $TE = VE \oplus HE$ . The horizontal projection of the tangent vectors  $\sigma_{\alpha*} \cdot \xi_x, \sigma_{\beta*} \cdot \xi_x$  may be mapped onto  $TU \times TF$ , with the components along  $TF$  denoted by  $C^\alpha, C^\beta$  respectively. The horizontal subspaces  $\psi_*H_{\sigma_\alpha(x)}, \psi_*H_{\sigma_\beta(x)}$  are spanned by vectors of the form  $(\xi_x, C^\alpha(y)), (\xi_x, C^\beta(y'))$ , as the components along  $TF$  must independent of  $\xi_x$ . Thus, the diffeomorphism  $\psi_{\beta\alpha}^x$  induces a mapping from  $\psi_*H_{\sigma_\alpha(x)}$  to  $\psi_*H_{\sigma_\beta(x)}$ , so that

$$(2) \quad C^\beta(y') = T\psi_{\beta\alpha}^x \cdot C^\alpha(y)$$

The connection forms  $\Gamma^\alpha, \Gamma^\beta$  are obtained by subtracting  $C^\alpha, C^\beta$  from  $V^\alpha, V^\beta$ . The transformation from  $\Gamma^\alpha$  to  $\Gamma^\beta$  is given by

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma^\beta(\xi_x, y') &= V^\beta(\xi_x, y') - C^\beta(\xi_x, y') \\ &= T\psi_{\beta\alpha}^y(\xi_x) + T\psi_{\beta\alpha}^x \cdot V^\alpha(\xi_x, y) - T\psi_{\beta\alpha}^x \cdot C^\alpha(\xi_x, y) \\ &= T\psi_{\beta\alpha}^y(\xi_x) + T\psi_{\beta\alpha}^x \cdot \Gamma(\xi_x, y) \end{aligned}$$

The transformation rule of the connection form for the bundle  $(E, M, \pi)$  generally involves the fibre coordinate.

### 3. INDEPENDENCE OF GAUGE TRANSFORMATION WITH RESPECT TO THE FIBRE COORDINATE

To identify the connection forms with gauge potentials depending only on the coordinates of the base space  $M$ , one must extend  $\Gamma^\alpha(\xi_x, y) \in T_y(F)$  and  $\Gamma^\beta(\xi_x, y') \in T_{y'}(F)$  to global vector fields on  $F$ . For any  $y \in F$ , define a mapping  $\phi_y$  from a vector space  $V$  to the tangent space  $T_y(F)$ , such that  $\Gamma^\alpha(\xi_x, y) = \phi_y \Gamma^\alpha(\xi_x)$  where  $\Gamma^\alpha(\xi_x) \in V$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.** *The dependence on the fibre coordinate in the transformation rule for the connection form can be eliminated only if the fibre  $F$  admits a parallelism.*

*Proof.* It follows from (3) that

$$(4) \quad \phi_{y'} \Gamma^\beta(\xi_x) = T\psi_{\beta\alpha}^y(\xi_x) + T\psi_{\beta\alpha}^x \cdot \phi_y \Gamma^\alpha(\xi_x)$$

The relation between  $\Gamma^\alpha(\xi_x)$  and  $\Gamma^\beta(\xi_x)$  can be interpreted as a gauge transformation if the fibre coordinate can be eliminated from (4). As both terms on the right-hand side of (4) can be expressed as  $\phi_y X, X \in V$ ,  $\phi_y$  should be a vector space isomorphism which depends smoothly on  $y$ . Thus,  $\phi : V \times F \rightarrow TF, \phi(\cdot, y) = \phi_y$ , represents a parallelism on  $F$ , with  $V$  being the reduced tangent space [9]. ■

Now consider the second term on the right-hand side of (4). To eliminate the fibre coordinate, we require

$$(5) \quad T\psi_{\beta\alpha}^x \cdot \phi_y = \phi_{y'} \cdot A(x)$$

where  $A(x) : V \rightarrow V$  is a linear isomorphism depending only on the  $\psi_{\beta\alpha}^x$  and not the fibre coordinate  $y$ . For a Yang-Mills theory associated with a principal bundle  $(P, M, \pi, G)$   $V$  is the Lie algebra of  $G$  and  $A(x) = Ad(g^{-1}(x))$ ,  $g(x) \in G$ . When  $A(x) = Id_V$ , equation (5) becomes  $T\psi_{\beta\alpha}^x = \phi'_y \cdot \phi_y^{-1}$ . The parallelism  $\phi$  defines a differential system, whose solution is a local diffeomorphism mapping  $y$  to  $y'$ . The parallelism is defined to be integrable if a solution exists for any pair of points  $y, y' \in F$ . When every solution can be extended to a global diffeomorphism on  $F$ , the parallelism is globally integrable, and  $F$  is a Lie group [9].

Even if  $A(x) \neq Id_V$ , it can nevertheless be demonstrated that the parallelism must be globally integrable.

**PROPOSITION 2.** *For any pair of points  $y_0, y'_0 \in F$ , there exists a global diffeomorphism  $\psi_{\beta\alpha}^x : F \rightarrow F$ ,  $\psi_{\beta\alpha}^x(y_0) = y'_0$ , satisfying  $T\psi_{\beta\alpha}^x \cdot \phi_y = \phi_{y'} \cdot A$  for some constant matrix  $A$  if and only if the parallelism on  $F$  is globally integrable.*

*Proof.* The global diffeomorphism  $\psi_{\beta\alpha}^x$  is strongly constrained by (5). Indeed, by Frobenius' theorem, a local diffeomorphism obeying (5) will exist if and only if

$$(6) \quad [\phi_{y'} \cdot A \phi_y^{-1} \cdot X, \phi_{y'} \cdot A \phi_y^{-1} \cdot Y]_{y'_0} = \phi_{y'_0} \cdot A \phi_{y_0}^{-1} \cdot [X, Y]_{y_0}$$

for any two vector fields  $X, Y$  defined in a neighbourhood of  $y_0$ . The parallelism induces a set of smooth, linearly independent vector fields  $\{\xi_i(y) = \phi_y e_i\}$  where the  $\{e_i\}$  form a basis for the vector space  $V$ . Expanding the commutator of two vectors  $\xi_i, \xi_j$  at  $y$  in the basis  $\{\xi_k(y)\}$ ,  $[\xi_i, \xi_j]_y = c_{ijk}(y)\xi_k(y)$ , and noting that

$$(7) \quad \phi_{y'} \cdot A \phi_y^{-1} \xi_i(y) = \phi_{y'} \cdot A e_i = A_{ki} \xi_k(y')$$

the integrability condition (6) becomes

$$(8) \quad c_{ijk}(y_0) A_{mk} = c_{klm}(y'_0) A_{ki} A_{lj}$$

This identity holds for all points in a neighbourhood of  $y_0$  when  $\psi_{\beta\alpha}^x$  is a local diffeomorphism. If we require  $\psi_{\beta\alpha}^x$  to be a global diffeomorphism obeying (5), then (8) must be satisfied at every point on the fibre. It will now be shown that no constant matrix  $A$  can satisfy (8) when the coefficients  $c_{ijk}$  depend on the fibre coordinate  $y$ . This is a consequence of (8) leading to an infinite number of independent constraints on the constant matrix.

Suppose the contrary. Then for any pair of points  $(y, \tilde{y})$ , there is a global diffeomorphism  $\beta$  such that  $T\beta \cdot \phi_y = \phi_{\tilde{y}} \cdot B$

$$(9) \quad c_{ijk}(y)B_{mk} = c_{klm}(\tilde{y})B_{ki}B_{lj}$$

If  $y$  is chosen to be different from  $y'$ ,  $c_{ijk}(\tilde{y}) \neq c_{ijk}(y')$ , it may be assumed that  $B$  does not obey (8). Let us define the map  $\gamma$  by  $\psi_{\beta\alpha}^x(\tilde{y}) = \psi_{\beta\alpha}^x \cdot \beta(y) \equiv \gamma \cdot \psi_{\beta\alpha}(y) = \gamma(y')$ . Then  $T\gamma \cdot \phi_{y'} = \phi_{\psi_{\beta\alpha}^x(y)}$ ,  $C = ABA^{-1}$ . From (8) and (9),

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} c_{ijk}(\tilde{y}_0)A_{mk} &= c_{klm}(\psi_{\beta\alpha}^x(\tilde{y}_0))A_{ki}A_{lj} \\ &= [c_{lno}(y_0)(B^{-1})_{li}(B^{-1})_{nj}B_{ko}]A_{mk} \\ &= [c_{pqr}(y'_0)(C^{-1})_{pk}(C^{-1})_{qi}C_{mr}]A_{kt}A_{lj} \end{aligned}$$

If  $[A, B] = 0$ , then  $B = C$  and (10) becomes

$$(11) \quad [c_{lno}(y_0)A_{ko}](B^{-1})_{li}(B^{-1})_{nj}B_{mk} = [c_{pqr}(y'_0)A_{pk}A_{qi}](C^{-1})_{ki}(C^{-1})_{lj}C_{mr}$$

which is equivalent to (8). Otherwise (10) represents an independent condition on  $A$ .

Let  $F$  be a smooth  $n$ -dimensional manifold, so that it may be covered by open patches which are homeomorphic to open sets in  $R^n$ . Since the tangent space at any point on  $F$  is isomorphic to  $R^n$ , the reduced tangent space  $V$  can be identified with  $R^n$ . If there is a basis of  $V$ ,  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ , in which  $A$  is a diagonal matrix with  $n$  distinct eigenvalues, the matrices commuting with  $A$  are also diagonal. If the eigenvalues of  $A$  are not all different, then there will be matrices with off-diagonal elements commuting with  $A$ . However, these matrices will not necessarily commute with each other, and, in fact, the maximal commuting subgroup in this set is again the group of diagonal matrices. When  $A$  has complex eigenvalues and cannot be diagonalized over  $R^n$ , the argument above can be adapted to show that the maximal abelian group of linear transformations of  $V$  commuting with  $A$  is still  $n$ -dimensional.

However, not all matrices in this group can satisfy an identity such as (9). This is demonstrated in the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose there is a diffeomorphism  $\beta(\lambda)$  such that  $T\beta(\lambda) \cdot \phi_y = \phi_{\tilde{y}(\lambda)} \cdot \lambda B$ , and*

$$(12) \quad c_{ijk}(y)\lambda B_{mk} = c_{klm}(y(\lambda))\lambda B_{ki}\lambda B_{lj}$$

*Then  $\lambda$  must equal 1.*

*Proof.* It follows from (9) and (12) that  $c_{klm}(y) = \lambda c_{klm}(\tilde{y}(\lambda))$ . The coefficients  $c_{klm}$  are also constrained by the following property. If  $X, Y, Z$  are three parallel vector fields on  $F$ , so that their components with respect to the basis  $\{\xi_i(y)\}$  are constant, then  $[[X, Y], Z]$  is also a parallel vector field [10]. Thus for any  $y, y' \in F$

$$(13) \quad [[\xi_i, \xi_j], \xi_k]_{y'} = \phi_{y'} \cdot \phi_y^{-1} [[\xi_i, \xi_j], \xi_k]_y$$

or equivalently,

$$(14) \quad c_{ijl}(y')c_{klm}(y') - \xi_k(c_{ijm})(y') = c_{ijl}(y)c_{klm}(y) - \xi_k(c_{ijm})(y)$$

In particular, for  $\tilde{y}$ ,  $\tilde{y}(\lambda) = \beta(\lambda) \cdot \beta^{-1}(\tilde{y})$ , (14) gives

$$(15) \quad \lambda^{-2}c_{ijl}(\tilde{y})c_{klm}(\tilde{y}) - \lambda^{-1}\xi_k(c_{ijm})(\tilde{y}) = c_{ijl}(\tilde{y})c_{klm}(\tilde{y}) - \xi_k(c_{ijm})(\tilde{y})$$

There are two solutions to this equation, but by again considering (14) for the pair  $y$ ,  $(\beta(\lambda) \cdot \beta^{-1})^{-2}(y)$ , we see that  $\lambda = 1$  is the only acceptable one.

From this lemma, it follows that the set of matrices commuting with  $A$  and satisfying (9), to be denoted as  $M_A$ , has maximum dimension  $n - 1$ . Now fix a point  $y_0 \in F$ . If  $T\beta(y_0, y) \cdot \phi_{y_0} = \phi_y \cdot B_y$ , then  $y \rightarrow B_y$  is a mapping of  $F$  onto  $M_A$ . This mapping is surjective, but we will now show that its domain cannot include all of  $F$ . Consider two points  $y_i = \beta_i(y)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , such that  $T\beta_i \cdot \phi_{y_0} = \phi_{y_i} \cdot B_i$ . When  $B_1 = B_2$ ,  $T(\beta_2 \cdot \beta^{-1}) \cdot \phi_{y_1} = \phi_{y_2}$ , which implies  $c_{ijk}(y_1) = c_{ijk}(y_2)$ . The diffeomorphism  $\beta_2 \cdot \beta_1^{-1}$  is known as a translation, and the set of translations forms a pseudogroup [9]. If the pseudogroup is transitive on  $F$ , then  $c_{ijk}$  is constant and the parallelism is integrable. By our original assumption, however, the coefficients  $c_{ijk}$  are not constant and the set  $C_{y_1} = \{y \in F \mid c_{ijk}(y) = c_{ijk}(y_1)\}$  is strictly contained in  $F$ . It will now be shown that this assumption cannot hold. ■

LEMMA 2. *The set  $C_{y_1}$  contains all of  $F$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose initially that  $C_{y_1}$  is a continuous curve passing through  $y_1$ . Let  $X_{y_1}$  be the tangent vector at  $y_1$  so that  $X(c_{ijm})(y_1) = X_k \xi_k(c_{ijm})(y_1) = 0$ . By the identity (14),  $X(c_{ijm})(y) = 0$  for all  $y$  on the curve  $C_{y_1}$ . Thus  $C_{y_1}$  is an integral curve of the parallel vector field  $X$ .

The derivative of  $c_{ijk}$  in the direction of an arbitrary tangent vector  $Y$  also takes the same value at every point on  $C_{y_1}$ . Thus, if  $\{\phi_t\}$  is the one-parameter family of diffeomorphisms generated by the vector field  $Y$ , the translation of  $C_{y_1}$  by a distance  $t$  along the integral curves of  $Y$  gives a curve of constant  $c_{ijk}$ ,  $C_{\phi_t(y_1)}$ . The tangent vector to  $C_{\phi_t(y_1)}$  is

$$(16) \quad \phi_{t*}X = X - t[X, Y] + \frac{t^2}{2} [[X, Y], Y] - \dots$$

Associated to each vector field  $Y$  is a two-dimensional surface  $S_Y$  spanned by the integral curves of  $Y$  passing through  $C_{y_1}$ . It can be shown that there will exist different surfaces  $S_{Y'}$ ,  $S_{Y''}$  that intersect at a non-zero distance from  $C_{y_1}$ .

Suppose  $Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}$  are independent vector fields such that the integral curves of linear combinations of the  $Y_i$  do not intersect and sweep out an  $n$ -dimensional neighbourhood of  $y_1$ . If  $Y = \sum_i a_i Y_i$  and  $Y' = aX + bY$ , the surfaces  $S_Y, S_{Y'}$  initially coincide at  $C_{y_1}$ . However, upon substituting  $Y'$  in (16), one sees that the tangent spaces to  $S_Y, S_{Y'}$  will differ elsewhere as they are spanned by linearly independent vectors. Since  $S_{Y'}$  does not coincide with  $S_Y$  everywhere, it must intersect another surface  $S_{Y''}$ . Let  $y_3$  be a point in this intersection. The set  $C_{y_3}$  consists of a curve in  $S_{Y'}$  with tangent vector  $X - t'[X, Y'] + \frac{t^2}{2} [[X, Y'], Y'] - \dots$  and curve in  $S_{Y''}$  with tangent vector  $X - t''[X, Y''] + \frac{t''^2}{2} [[X, Y''], Y''] - \dots$  where  $t', t''$  are parameters for the integral curves of  $Y', Y''$  respectively. These vectors are equal if they agree to each order in the infinitesimally small parameters  $t', t''$ , which is only possible if  $Y''$  is a multiple of  $Y'$ . Since  $Y'' \neq cY'$ , the tangent vectors do not coincide, and by linearity, they generate a two-dimensional surface of constant  $c_{ijk}$ . By translating this surface back to  $y_1$ , one now finds that  $C_{y_1}$  is two-dimensional. Upon repetition of the above argument sufficiently many times, it can be concluded that  $c_{ijk}$  is constant on an  $n$ -dimensional neighbourhood of  $y_1, U_{y_1}$ . Since  $F$  can be covered by overlapping neighbourhoods  $U_y, c_{ijk}$  must be constant on all of  $F$ .

Since  $\{\xi_i(y)\}$  are smooth vector fields, the coefficients  $c_{ijk}$  are smooth functions and the only remaining possibility is that  $C_y$  is a set of isolated points. However, if  $C_y$  is zero-dimensional and  $M_A$  has maximum dimension  $n - 1$ , there are infinitely many points in  $F$  which cannot be mapped onto  $M_A$ . Corresponding to each of these points is an independent constraint on  $A$ . As  $A$  is a constant matrix, not all of these constraints can be satisfied. Therefore,  $C_{y_1}$  is  $n$ -dimensional and contains all of  $F$ . ■

As a consequence of Lemma 2, it follows that the coefficients  $c_{ijk}$  are constant and the parallelism on  $F$  must be globally integrable. The fibre  $F$  is then of the form  $G/D$ , where  $G$  is a Lie group and  $D$  is a discrete subgroup [10]. Assuming  $F$  is simply connected, it will be a Lie group.

Conversely, when the parallelism is globally integrable and  $F$  is a Lie group, the coefficients  $c_{ijk}$  are constant, and equation (8) will have solutions. The simplest solution is  $A_{mk} = \delta_{mk}$ . More generally, one may note that the reduced tangent space  $V$  can be identified with the Lie algebra, and given an arbitrary group element  $g = \exp(t_j \xi_j), A = Ad(g)$  is an automorphism of  $V$

$$(17) \quad Ad(g)\xi_i = \exp(t_j \xi_j)\xi_i \exp(-t_j \xi_j) = \xi_m A_{mi}$$

where

$$(18) \quad A_{mi} = \delta_{mi} + t_j c_{ijm} + \frac{1}{2} t_j t_k c_{ikl} c_{ljm} + \dots$$

Using the Jacobi identity for the structure constants, it can be verified to each order in  $t$  that this expression for  $A_{mi}$  represents the most general solution to (8). This completes the proof of Proposition 2. ■

On Lie groups, there are two types of parallelism, induced by left and right multiplication. We will set  $\phi_y = L_{y*}$ . Since

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} L_{g*} L_{y*} &= L_{g \cdot y*} \cdot Id \\ R_{g*} L_{y*} &= L_{y \cdot g*} \cdot Ad(g^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

both left and right translations are diffeomorphisms of the fibre satisfying (5). In fact, these are the only such diffeomorphisms, because any mapp  $[\text{Aing } \psi$ , whose tangent is  $T\psi = \phi_{\psi(y)} \cdot Ad(g^{-1}) \cdot \phi_y^{-1}$ , leaves the metric on the group manifold  $G$  invariant. Thus  $\psi$  must be an isometry, and the isometry group of  $G$  is  $G \times G$ .

Let us now consider the  $y$ -dependence of the first term on the right-hand side of (4).

**PROPOSITION 3.** *Let  $\phi_y$  be the parallelism on  $G$  induced by left multiplication. Then  $T\psi_{\beta\alpha}^y(\xi_x) = \phi_{y'}(x)$ ,  $X(x) \in V$ , only when  $\psi_{\beta\alpha}^x = R_{g(x)}$ .*

*Proof.* For left translations,

$$(20) \quad \psi_{\beta\alpha}^x(y) = L_g(y) = g(x) \cdot y = R_y \cdot g(x) = \psi_{\beta\alpha}^y(x)$$

defines  $\psi_{\beta\alpha}^y$ . The tangent mapping is

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} T\psi_{\beta\alpha}^y(\xi_x) &= R_{y*} \cdot (g^* \cdot \xi_x) = R_{y*} R_{g*} [R_{g*}^{-1}(g^* \cdot \xi_x)] \\ &= \phi_{y'} Ad(y'^{-1}) [R_{g*}^{-1}(g^* \cdot \xi_x)] \end{aligned}$$

The dependence of this term on the fibre coordinate is not eliminated after multiplication by  $\phi_y^{-1}$ . For right translations,  $\psi_{\beta\alpha}^y(x) = L_y \cdot g(x)$ , so that

$$(22) \quad T\psi_{\beta\alpha}^y(x) = L_{y*}(g^* \cdot \xi_x) = \phi_{y'} [L_{g*}^{-1}(g^* \cdot \xi_x)]$$

Equation (4) now becomes

$$(23) \quad \Gamma^\beta(\xi_x) = Ad(g^{-1})\Gamma^\alpha(\xi_x) + L_{g*}^{-1}(g^* \cdot \xi_x)$$

which takes the standard form for a gauge transformation upon setting  $\xi_x$  equal to  $\partial_\mu$ . If the parallelism  $\phi_y = R_{y*}$  is chosen, a similar result is obtained when  $\psi_{\beta\alpha}^x = L_{g(x)}$ . ■



If  $G$  is abelian, the structure constants vanish and the matrix  $A$  is unconstrained. Consequently, the  $y$ -dependence of  $T\psi_{\beta\alpha}^x \cdot \phi_y \Gamma^\alpha(\xi_x)$  does not directly lead to a restriction on the diffeomorphism  $\psi_{\beta\alpha}^x$ . The precise form of  $T\psi_{\beta\alpha}^y(\xi_x)$  is therefore undetermined. However, one has the following result.

**PROPOSITION 4.** *If  $G$  is abelian, and  $\phi_y = L_{y*} = R_{y*}$ ,  $\psi_{\beta\alpha}^x = L_{g(x)} = R_{g(x)}$ .*

*Proof.* Define the following maps  $M \rightarrow \text{Diff}(G) \rightarrow G$  by

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_{\beta\alpha}(x) &\equiv \psi_{\beta\alpha}^x \\ O_y \cdot \psi_{\beta\alpha}(x) &= \psi_{\beta\alpha}^y(x) \end{aligned}$$

To obtain a  $y$ -independent transformation rule, we require the tangent mappings to obey

$$(25) \quad T\psi_{\beta\alpha}^y(\xi_x) = TO_y \cdot T\psi_{\beta\alpha}(\xi_x) = \phi_y \cdot X(x)$$

where  $y' = \psi_{\beta\alpha}^x(y)$  and  $X(x)$  is an element of the Lie algebra of  $G$ . The projection operator  $O_y$  can always be expressed as  $L_y \pi(y)$  where  $\pi(y) : \text{Diff}(G) \rightarrow G$  is defined by

$$(26) \quad \pi(y) \cdot \psi_{\beta\alpha}(x) = L_y^{-1} \psi_{\beta\alpha}^x(y)$$

Equation (25) is then equivalent to

$$(27) \quad \phi_{y'}^{-1} \phi_y \pi(y) * T\psi_{\beta\alpha}(\xi_x) = X(x)$$

From (7), we recall that

$$(28) \quad T\psi_{\beta\alpha}^x \cdot \xi_i(y) = \phi_{y'} A \phi_y^{-1} \xi_i(y) = A_{k_i} \xi_k(y') \equiv A \phi_{y'} \phi_y^{-1} \xi_i(y)$$

which implies  $(T\psi_{\beta\alpha}^x)^{-1} A = \phi_y \phi_{y'}^{-1}$ , where the matrix  $A$  is independent of  $y$  by definition. Since the group is abelian,  $\phi_y \phi_{y'}^{-1} = \phi_{y'}^{-1} \phi_y$  and

$$(29) \quad (T\psi_{\beta\alpha}^x)^{-1} A \pi(y) * T\psi_{\beta\alpha}(\xi_x) = X(x)$$

This condition will hold only if  $\pi$  is independent of  $y$ , which implies that  $\psi_{\beta\alpha}^x(y) = y \cdot g(x) = R_g(y)$ . ■

#### 4. CONCLUSION

For any Lie group  $G$ , if the parallelism  $\phi_y$  is induced by left translations, the only allowed coordinate transformations are the right translations  $R_{g(x)}$ . Consequently, the structure group of the bundle  $(E, M, \pi)$  is reduced to  $G$ . One may also note from equation (2) that the distribution of horizontal subspaces in  $TE$  is invariant under right translations,  $H_{p \cdot g} = R_{g*} H_p$ ,  $p \in E$ . This property is

required for a connection in a principal bundle, and the existence of such a connection can be proven [3].

To summarize, the geometry of a pure Yang-Mills theory is described by the principal fibre bundle, with the connection form being identified as the gauge potential. A general bundle  $(E, M, \pi)$ , where  $E$  is paracompact, also admits a connection and the transformation rule for the connection form under a change of bundle coordinates is given by equation (3). This connection form can only be regarded as a gauge potential if the dependence of the transformation on the fibre coordinates can be eliminated. By analyzing the  $y$ -dependence of both terms on the right-hand side of equation (3), one obtains strong restrictions on the standard fibre  $F$ , which is initially assumed to be an arbitrary smooth, simply-connected, finite-dimensional manifold. In particular, the fibre  $F$  must admit a globally integrable parallelism, which implies that it is a Lie group. The structure group is similarly constrained. Even when  $F$  is a Lie group, the allowed bundle transformations consist of either right or left multiplication but not both. Thus, the structure group and the standard fibre coincide, and  $(E, M, \pi)$  must be a principal bundle.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank C. Vafa for useful discussions.

This work has been supported by a Rouse Ball Studentship from Trinity College, an S.E.R.C. NATO Fellowship, and in part by NSF grant PHY82-15249. It was initiated at the I.C.T.P., Trieste, and funds from the Centre are also gratefully acknowledged.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. TRAUTMAN: *Fibre Bundles Associated with Space-Time*, Rep. Math. Phys. **1** (1970), 29 - 62;  
Y.M. CHO: *Higher-dimensional unifications of gravitation and gauge theories*, J. Math. Phys., **16** (1975), 2029 - 2035.
- [2] M.F. ATIYAH: *Geometry of Yang-Mills Fields*, Acc. Naz. dei Lincei, Pisa, 1979;  
D. BLEECKER: *Gauge Theory and Variational Principles*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1981.
- [3] S. KOBAYASHI and K. NOMIZU: *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, Vol. 1, Wiley, New York, 1963.
- [4] G. DOMOKOS and S. KOVESI-DOMOKOS: *Gauge fields on coset spaces*, Il Nuovo Cim., **44A** (1978) 318 - 329.
- [5] W. NAHM: *An Octonionic Generalization of Yang-Mills*, CERN preprint TH-2489 (1978).
- [6] R.M. WALD: *Spin-two fields and general covariance*, Phys. Rev. **D33** (1986), 3613 - 3625.

- [7] W. GREUB, S. HALPERIN and R. VANSTONE: *Connections, Curvature, and Cohomology*, Vol. 2, Academic Press, New York, 1973.
- [8] R. PERCACCI: *Geometry of Nonlinear Field Theories*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1986.
- [9] P. LIBERMANN: *Parallelismes*, J. Diff. Geom., 8 (1973), 511 - 537.
- [10] J.A. WOLF: *On the Geometry and Classification of Absolute Parallelisms I, II*, J. Diff. Geom., 6 (1972), 317 - 342, 7 (1972), 19 - 44.

*Manuscript received: November 27, 1987.*